

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

NOVAK DRUCE AND QUIGG LLP (Volvo) 1000 LOUISIANA STREET FIFTY-THIRD FLOOR HOUSTON TX 77002

## COPY MAILED

DEC 2 0 2007

## OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :

Ockborn et al. : DECISION ON PETITION

Application Number: 10/709683

Filing Date: 05/22/2004

Attorney Docket Number: 7589.176.PCUS00

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed on October 3, 2007, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.137(b)." This is not a final agency decision.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A <u>grantable</u> petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

<sup>(1)</sup> the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

<sup>(2)</sup> the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

<sup>(3)</sup> a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

<sup>(4)</sup> any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

This application became abandoned on January 26, 2007, for failure to file a timely reply to the final Office action mailed on October 25, 2006, which set a three (3) month shortened statutory period for reply. No extensions of the time for reply were filed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a). Notice of Abandonment was mailed on May 30, 2007.

The petition lacks the required reply. The only proper reply to a final Office action is a Notice of Appeal and fee, Request for Continuing Examination and submission under 37 CFR 1.114, a continuing application, or an amendment placing the application in *prima facie* condition for allowance. The examiner has determined that the amendment filed on October 3, 2007, does not place the case in *prima facie* condition for allowance.

A copy of the examiner's Advisory Action is enclosed for petitioner's reference.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop Petition

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By FAX:

(571) 273-8300

Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand:

Customer Service Window

Mail Stop Petition Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3231.

KIN OOOL

Douglas I. Wood Senior Petitions Attorney Office of Petitions

Encl: Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/709,683	OCKBORN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sarang Afzali	3726		

	Sarang Atzaii	3/26		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 03 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)	
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.	
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		LIMOI NEFEI WASI	ILLD WITTING	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.	136(a) and the appropria	te extension fee	
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee				
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed,				
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b	).			
NOTICE OF APPEAL				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extea a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th		
AMENDMENTS	walling the time period section in t	57 51 1 41.57 (a).		
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			00000	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		, ,		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.		jected claims.		
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).	
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li></ol>	):			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	-	_	
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of	
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a	
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	nce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).			
		/DAVID BRYANT/ SPE, AU3726		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new claims are different in scope than those previously presented, and would require further consideration and/or search .